

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,909	11/24/2003	Roland Janzen	DCS-9151	5291
34500 DADE BEHDI	7590 01/25/2008		EXAM	INER-
DADE BEHRING INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT			VENCI, DAVID J	
1717 DEERFIELD ROAD DEERFIELD, IL 60015			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DELIGIED,	, 60015		1641	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,909	JANZEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Venci	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. Ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	Responsive to communication(s) filed on October 30, 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6,9-12 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 are subject to	14-21 is/are withdrawn from consi					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E: 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to be not on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage [,]				
Attachment(s)		070.440				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e),

was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination

under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the

previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on

July 26, 2007, and May 3, 2007 are entered.

Applicants have not made any claim amendments since Applicants' amendment filed November 22,

2006.

Claims 1-12 and 14-21 as filed on November 22, 2006, are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Examiner acknowledges Applicants' election of Invention II, claims 7 and 8. Applicants did not distinctly

and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement. Therefore, Applicants'

election is treated as an election without traverse. See MPEP § 818.03(a). Claims 1-6, 9-12 and 14-21

are directed to non-elected inventions and are withdrawn from consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b).

Claims 7 and 8 as filed on November 22, 2006, are under examination.

Application/Control Number:

10/720,909 Art Unit: 1641 Page 3

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of punctuation and formatting errors (e.g., too many "(2)", too many semicolons, not enough periods). Appropriate correction is required.

10/720,909 Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bittner & Rowold, Electrotransfer in Equipment Containing Buffer, in CRC HANDBOOK OF IMMUNOBLOTTING OF PROTEINS, Vol. 1, Chapter 4.3.1, pp. 69-77, O.J. Bjerrum & N.H.H. Heegaard, Eds., CRC Press, Inc. (1988).

Bittner & Rowold describe a reagent intended to capture free binding species in a fluid medium containing both free binding species and binding species attached to a substrate, wherein the binding species attached to the substrate is intended to disassociate from the substrate, the reagent comprising:

a fluid medium (see p. 72, Step 2—Electroblotting, "The buffer tank should contain sufficient precooled buffer to cover the filter sandwich"; see *also*, Fig. 1A, "Buffer Tank") containing:

- 1. a substrate having binding species attached to the substrate (see p. 69, second paragraph, first sentence, "proteins to be eluted from gels"; see also, Fig. 1B, "Gel"); and
- 2. a porous material (see Fig. 1B, "Immobilizing Filter") having:
 - a. permeability to free binding species (see Fig. 1B, "Immobilizing <u>Filter</u>") (emphasis added); and

affinity for free binding species within the pores of the porous material (see p. 74, third full paragraph, second sentence, "immobilization throughout the coarser covalent matrices"; see also, Fig. 1B, noting a thick "Immobilizing Filter");

wherein the porous material is not intended to have permeability to said substrate (see Fig. 1B, *noting* that Fig. 1 fails to show the "Gel" permeating into the "Immobilizing Filter").

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skold et al. (US 5,039,607).

Skold *et al.* describe a reagent intended to capture free binding species in a fluid medium containing both free binding species and binding species attached to a substrate, wherein the binding species attached to the substrate is intended to disassociate from the substrate, the reagent comprising:

a fluid medium (see col. 20, line 5, "the first and second bibulous strips can be immersed in a developer solution") containing:

- a substrate having binding species attached to the substrate (see col. 19, lines 59-61, "the second reagent all becomes bound to the first bibulous member"); and
- 2. a porous material (see col. 19, lines 61-62, "the second bibulous strip") having:
 - c. permeability to free binding species (see col. 19, lines 61-62, "the second bibulous strip") (emphasis added); and

10/720,909 Art Unit: 1641

d. affinity for free binding species within the pores of the porous material (see col.19, lines 54, "captured by the antibody on the second bibulous strip");

wherein the porous material is not intended to have permeability to said substrate (see entire document, *noting* that Skold *et al.* fail to show the "first bibulous member" permeating into the "second bibulous member").

Application/Control Number:

10/720,909

Art Unit: 1641

Page 7

Response to Arguments

In prior Office Action, Examiner raised objections to the disclosure for various informalities. Upon

reconsideration of Applicants' persuasive arguments presented in the replies filed November 22, 2006,

and May 3, 2007, these objections are withdrawn.

In prior Office Action, Examiner did not reject claims 7 and 8 pursuant to any statute because claims 7

and 8 were withdrawn from consideration in the prior Office Action. Claims 1-6 and 14-16 were rejected

under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for various reasons. Herein, claims 1-6, 9-12

and 14-21 are withdrawn from consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being directed to non-

elected inventions. Applicants' arguments presented in the reply filed November 22, 2006, and reiterated

in the reply filed May 3, 2007, will be reconsidered upon rejoinder of the affected claims.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David J. Venci whose telephone number is 571-272-2879. The examiner can normally be

reached on 08:00 - 16:30 (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

David J Venci Assistant Examiner Art Unit 1641

01/22/08

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

djv